FORM 10-Q

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

(Mark One)	
(X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECURITIES EXCHA	
For the quarterly period ended	March 31, 1996
OR	
() TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT 1 THE SECURITIES E	TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from	to
Commission file number	1-4174
	COMPANIES, INC.
	as specified in its charter)
DELAWARE	73-0569878
	(IRS Employer Identification Number)
ONE WILLIAMS CENTER TULSA, OKLAHOMA	74172
(Address of principal executive office)	
	(918) 588-2000
NO CH	IANGE
Former name, former address and forme repo	
Indicate by check mark whether the reg required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or registrant was required to file such reg	5(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of

1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

Class

Outstanding at April 30, 1996

Common Stock, \$1 par value

104,754,425 Shares

Part I. Financial Information	Page
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated Statement of IncomeThree Months Ended March 31, 1996 and 1995	2
Consolidated Balance SheetMarch 31, 1996 and December 31, 1995	4
Consolidated Statement of Cash FlowsThree Months Ended March 31, 1996 and 1995	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	18
Part II. Other Information	
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	22
Exhibit 11Computation of Earnings Per Common and Common- equivalent Share	
Exhibit 12Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements	

Portions of this document may constitute "forward looking statements" as defined by federal law. Although The Williams Companies, Inc. believes any such statements are based on reasonable assumptions, there is no assurance that actual outcomes will not be materially different. Additional information about issues that could lead to material changes in performance is contained in The Williams Companies, Inc.'s annual report on Form 10-K.

-1-

	(Millions)	
	Three months ended March 31,	
		1995*
Revenues: Williams Interstate Natural Gas Systems (Note 4)	\$455.7	\$ 321.3
Williams Field Services Group	199.1	132.1
Williams Energy Services	26.7	35.2*
Williams Pipe Line	126.5	64.4
WilTel	122.0	126.7
WilTech Group	18.6	7.9
Other	12.6	7.3
Intercompany eliminations	(67.5)	(52.5)
Total revenues	893.7	642.4
Profit-center costs and expenses:		
Costs and operating expenses	499.4	351.1*
Selling, general and administrative expenses	135.4	113.7
Other (income) expensenet	2.8	(.1)
Total profit-center costs and expenses	637.6	464.7
Operating profit (loss): Williams Interstate Natural		
Gas Systems (Note 4)	164.1	96.6
Williams Field Services Group	53.2	36.4
Williams Energy Services	16.4	25.0
Williams Pipe Line	18.3	14.8
WilTel	3.0	7.9
WilTech Group	(.2)	(2.1)
Other	1.3	(.9)
Total operating profit	256.1	177.7
General corporate expenses	(11.1)	(11.9)
Interest accrued	(82.1)	(68.6)
Interest capitalized	1.8	` 1.3 [´]
Investing income	4.5	44.2
Other expensenet	(3.3)	(9.8)
		····· ,
Income from continuing operations		
before income taxes	165.9	132.9
Provision for income taxes (Note 5)	61.0	49.7
Income from continuing operations	104.9	83.2
Income from discontinued operations (Note 6)	-	1,005.7
Net income	104.9	1 000 0
Preferred stock dividends	2.6	1,088.9 2.1
LIGIGIICU SLUCK UTATUCHUS	2.0	2.1
Income applicable to common stock	\$102.3	\$1,086.8
	======	=======

*Reclassified as described in Note 2.

See accompanying notes.

THE WILLIAMS COMPANIES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME (concluded) (Unaudited)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	1996	1995
Primary earnings per common and common-equivalent share:		
Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations (Note 6)	\$.95 - 	\$.86 10.71
Net income	\$.95 ======	\$ 11.57 =======
Average shares (thousands)	107,760	93,920
Fully diluted earnings per common and common-equivalent share:		
Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations (Note 6)	\$.93 -	\$.86 10.69
Net income	\$.93 ======	\$ 11.55 ======
Average shares (thousands)	111,912	94,117
Cash dividends per common share	\$.34 ======	\$.27 ======

See accompanying notes.

-3-

	(Millions)		
	March 31, 1996	December 31, 1995	
ASSETS			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 87.6	\$ 90.4	
Receivables	685.8	525.0	
Transportation and exchange gas receivable	143.9	152.3	
Inventories	206.9	189.0	
Deferred income taxes	232.4	213.9	
Other	198.7	173.2	
Total current assets	1,555.3	1,343.8	
Investments	130.4	307.6	
Property, plant and equipment, at cost (Note 3)	10,624.3	9,478.7	
Less accumulated depreciation and depletion	(1,637.2)	(1,464.0)	
	8,987.1	8,014.7	
Other assets and deferred charges	840.6	828.7	
Total assets	\$11,513.4	\$10,494.8	
	========	========	

See accompanying notes.

-4-

	(Millions)	
	March 31, 1996	December 31, 1995
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities: Note payable (Note 9) Accounts payable Transportation and exchange gas payable Accrued liabilities (Note 7) Long-term debt due within one year (Note 9)	\$ 205.0 487.3 103.1 1,023.7 323.7	\$ - 472.0 127.8 1,130.2 319.9
Total current liabilities	2,142.8	2,049.9
Long-term debt (Note 9)	3,694.9	2,874.0
Deferred income taxes	1,600.8	1,568.2
Other liabilities	792.4	815.6
Contingent liabilities and commitments (Note 10)		
Stockholders' equity: Preferred stock, \$1 par value, 30,000,000 shares authorized, 3,291,052 shares issued in 1996 and 3,739,452 shares issued in 1995 Common stock, \$1 par value, 240,000,000 shares authorized, 106,115,559 shares issued in	162.3	173.5
1996 and 105,337,948 shares issued in 1995	106.1	105.3
Capital in excess of par value Retained earnings	1,077.1 1,982.3	1,051.1 1,915.6
Unamortized deferred compensation	(2.7)	(2.3)
	3,325.1	3,243.2
Less treasury stock (at cost), 1,448,275 shares of common stock in 1996 and 1,573,203 shares of common stock in 1995, 401,600 shares of preferred stock in 1995	(42.6)	(56.1)
Total stockholders' equity	3,282.5	3,187.1
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$11,513.4 =======	\$10,494.8 ========

See accompanying notes.

-5-

	•	ions)
	Three months ended March 31,	
	1996	1995
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 104.9	\$ 1,088.9
Adjustments to reconcile to cash provided from		,
operations:		(1 005 5)
Discontinued operations	-	(1,005.7)
Depreciation and depletion	112.8	83.5
Provision for deferred income taxes	7.8	10.7 (56.7)
Changes in receivables sold		
Changes in receivables	(62.2)	122.8
Changes in inventories Changes in other current assets	(14.8)	(7.5)
Changes in accounts payable	(4.3)	23.0 (49.2)
Changes in accrued liabilities	45.5 (15.6)	
Net change in non-current unrealized trading assets	(15.0)	0.5
and liabilities	(8.0)	(23.2)
Other, including changes in non-current assets	(8.0)	(23.2)
and liabilities	23.5	(9.7)
		(3.7)
Net cash provided by operating activities	184.5	183.4
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from notes payable	221.1	8.0
Payments of notes payable		(593.3)
Proceeds from long-term debt	971.9	20.0
Payments of long-term debt	971.9 (785.8)	(648.4)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	26.3	2.2
Dividends paid	(38.2)	(26.2)
Subsidiary preferred stock redemptions	-	(193.7)
Othernet	(2.6)	(.4)
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	376.6	(1,431.8)
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities		(1,431.0)

See accompanying notes.

-6-

THE WILLIAMS COMPANIES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (concluded) (Unaudited)

	(Mill	ions)
	Three months ended March 31,	
	1996	1995
INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Property, plant and equipment:		
Capital expenditures Proceeds from sales	\$(115.6) -	\$ (135.9) 10.9
Changes in accounts payable and accrued liabilities Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(11.1) (215.0)	
Proceeds from sale of business Income tax and other payments related to discontinued	-	2,504.2
operations Purchase of note receivable Othernet	(217.4) - (4.8)	(75.1) 3.8
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	(563.9)	1,827.3
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2.8)	578.9
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	90.4	36.1
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 87.6 ======	\$ 615.0 =======

See accompanying notes.

-7-

Note 1. General

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements of The Williams Companies, Inc. (Williams) do not include all notes in annual financial statements and therefore should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto in Williams' 1995 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The accompanying unaudited financial statements have not been audited by independent auditors but include all adjustments both normal recurring and others which, in the opinion of Williams' management, are necessary to present fairly its financial position at March 31, 1996, and results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 1996 and 1995, and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 1996 and 1995.

Operating profit of operating companies may vary by quarter. Based on current rate structures and historical maintenance schedules, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line and Texas Gas Transmission experience higher operating profits in the first and fourth quarters as compared to the second and third quarters.

Note 2. Basis of presentation

Revenues and operating profit amounts for the three months ended March 31, 1995, include the operating results of Transco Energy since its January 18, 1995, acquisition by Williams.

Williams Energy Services' revenues and costs and operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 1995, have been reclassified to report sales of natural gas, refined products and crude oil, net of the related costs to purchase such items, consistent with mark-to-market accounting for such trading activities.

Note 3. Kern River Gas Transmission acquisition

On January 16, 1996, Williams acquired the remaining interest in Kern River Gas Transmission Company (Kern River) for \$206 million in cash. The acquisition is accounted for as a purchase and the acquired assets and liabilities have been recorded based on an allocation of the purchase price. Substantially all of the purchase price in excess of the carrying value from the January acquisition of Kern River has been allocated to property, plant and equipment. Revenues and operating profit amounts for the three months ended March 31, 1996, include the operating results of Kern River since the acquisition date. Prior to this acquisition, Williams accounted for its 50 percent ownership in Kern River using the equity method of accounting, with its share of equity earnings recorded in investing income.

-8-

Note 4. Williams Interstate Natural Gas Systems

10

		(Millio	ons)		
	Three months ended March 3 Revenues			L, Operating Profit	
	1996	1995	1996	1995	
Northwest Pipeline	\$ 67.6	\$ 59.1	\$ 32.2	\$26.5	
Williams Natural Gas	43.2	40.0	12.4	9.3	
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line	203.4	153.5	52.8	38.3	
Texas Gas Transmission	102.6	68.7	41.1	22.5	
Kern River Gas Transmission	38.9		25.6	-	
	\$455.7 =====	\$321.3 =====	\$164.1 ======	\$96.6 =====	

Note 5. Provision for income taxes

The provision (credit) for income taxes from continuing operations includes:

	(Millions)		
		Three months ended March 31,	
	1996	1995	
Current:			
Federal	\$44.7	\$27.7	
State	8.5	11.3	
	53.2	39.0	
Deferred:			
Federal	6.2	15.3	
State	1.6	(4.6)	
	7.8	10.7	
Total provision	\$61.0	\$49.7	
-	=====	=====	

The effective income tax rate in 1996 is slightly greater than the federal statutory rate due primarily to the effects of state income taxes, partially offset by income tax credits from coal-seam gas production.

The effective income tax rate in 1995 is greater than the federal statutory rate due primarily to the effects of state income taxes, minority interest, and dividends on subsidiary preferred stock, partially offset by income tax credits from coal-seam gas production.

Cash payments for income taxes for continuing and discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 1996 and 1995, are \$231.8 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

Note 6. Discontinued operations

On January 5, 1995, Williams sold its network services operations to LDDS Communications, Inc. (LDDS) for \$2.5 billion in cash. The sale yielded a gain of \$1 billion (net of income taxes of approximately \$732 million) which is reported as income from discontinued operations. Under the terms of the agreement, Williams retained Williams Telecommunications Systems, Inc. (WilTel), a national telecommunications equipment supplier and service company, and Vyvx, Inc. (included in WilTech Group), which operates a national video network specializing in broadcast television applications and satellite transmission.

Note 7. Accrued liabilities

	(Millions)	
	March 31, 1996	December 31, 1995
Rate refunds	\$ 228.8	\$ 180.6
Income taxes payable Employee costs Interest	184.2 149.6 74.9	371.6 135.9 72.9
Taxes other than income taxes Other	65.6 320.6	51.2 318.0
	\$1,023.7 ========	\$1,130.2 =======

Note 8. Adoption of accounting standard

Effective January 1, 1996, Williams adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121, "Accounting for Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of." Adoption of the standard had no effect on Williams' financial position or results of operations.

-10-

Note 9. Long-term debt

Long-term debt consists of the following amounts:

	(Millions)		
	Weighted average interest rate*	March 31, 1996	December 31 1995
The Williams Companies, Inc.			
Revolving credit loans	-	\$ -	\$ 50.0
Debentures, 8.875% - 10.25%,			
payable 2012, 2020, 2021			
and 2025	9.6	587.6	587.7
Notes, 7.5% - 9.625%,			
payable through 2001	8.8	830.3	842.4
Northwest Pipeline			
Debentures, 7.125% - 10.65%,			
payable through 2025	9.0	368.8	369.2
Adjustable rate notes,		10.0	44 7
payable through 2002	9.0	10.0	11.7
Williams Natural Gas			
Variable rate notes, payable 1999	5.7	130.0	130.0
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line	5.7	130.0	130.0
Debentures, 9.125%, payable			
1998 through 2017	9.1	152.8	153.0
Notes, 8.125% - 9%,	011	10210	10010
payable 1996, 1997 and 2002	8.7	379.8	381.1
Adjustable rate notes,			
payable 2000	6.2	124.9	125.1
Texas Gas Transmission			
Notes, 9.625% and 8.625%,			
payable 1997 and 2004	9.0	255.1	255.9
Kern River Gas Transmission			
Notes, 6.42% and 6.72%,			
payable through 2001	6.6	643.3	-
Williams Holdings of Delaware			
Revolving credit loans	5.8	150.0	150.0
Debentures, 6.25%, due 2006	6.3	248.7	-
Williams Pipe Line			
Notes, 8.95% and 9.78%,		110.0	110.0
payable through 2001	9.3	110.0	110.0
Williams Energy Ventures Adjustable rate notes,			
payable through 2002	8.1	20,6	21.0
Other, payable through 1999	7.9	20.6	21.0
other, payable through 1999	7.9	0.7	0.8
		4,018.6	3,193.9
Current portion of long-term debt		(323.7)	(319.9)
call one porcion of iong commutati		(323.7)	(010.0)
		\$3,694.9	\$2,874.0
		=======	=======

*At March 31, 1996.

-11-

In January 1996, Williams entered into a \$205 million short-term borrowing agreement to finance the purchase of the remaining interest in Kern River Gas Transmission Company (See Note 3).

Under Williams' \$800 million credit agreement, Northwest Pipeline, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line, Texas Gas Transmission, Williams Pipe Line and Williams Holdings of Delaware, Inc. have access to varying amounts of the facility while Williams (parent) has access to all unborrowed amounts. Interest rates vary with current market conditions. Certain amounts outstanding at March 31, 1996, under this facility do not reduce amounts available to Williams in the future. The available amount at March 31, 1996, is \$753 million.

Subsequent to March 31, 1996, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line redeemed \$125 million of its adjustable rate notes primarily through the use of the above credit agreement; consequently, these notes are classified as long-term at March 31, 1996.

During March 1996, the Kern River floating-rate bank loan was refinanced through the issuance of 6.42 percent and 6.72 percent fixed-rate notes. Interest-rate swap agreements entered into by Kern River in prior years which effectively converted floating-rate debt to a fixed 9.1 percent remain outstanding. Concurrent with the refinancing, Kern River entered into additional interest-rate swap agreements which effectively offset the original interest-rate swaps and adjust the new fixed-rate notes to an effective interest rate of 8.7 percent.

Cash payments for interest (net of amounts capitalized) for the three months ended March 31, 1996 and 1995, are \$97 million and \$69 million, respectively.

Note 10. Contingent liabilities and commitments

Rate and regulatory matters and related litigation

Williams' interstate pipeline subsidiaries, including Williams Pipe Line, have various regulatory proceedings pending. As a result of rulings in certain of these proceedings, a portion of the revenues of these subsidiaries has been collected subject to refund. As to Williams Pipe Line, revenues collected subject to refund were \$195 million at March 31, 1996; it is not expected that the amount of any refunds ordered would be significant. Accordingly, no portion of these revenues has been reserved for refund. As to the other pipelines, see Note 7 for the amount of revenues reserved for potential refund as of March 31, 1996.

In 1992, the FERC issued Order 636, Order 636-A and Order 636-B. These orders, which have been challenged in various respects by various parties in proceedings pending in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, require interstate gas pipeline companies to change the manner in which they provide services. Kern River Gas Transmission implemented its restructuring on August 1, 1993, Williams Natural Gas implemented its restructuring on October 1, 1993, and Northwest Pipeline, Texas Gas and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line implemented their restructurings on November 1, 1993. Certain aspects of each pipeline company's restructuring are under appeal.

Contract reformations and gas purchase deficiencies

Each of the natural gas pipeline subsidiaries has undertaken the reformation of its respective gas supply contracts. None of the pipelines has any significant pending supplier take-or-pay, ratable take or minimum take claims.

In 1994, Williams Natural Gas and a producer executed a number of agreements to resolve outstanding issues. Portions of the settlement were subject to regulatory approvals, including the regulatory abandonment of a certain Williams Natural Gas gathering system on terms acceptable to Williams Natural Gas. In May

-12-

1995, the FERC issued orders granting the requisite approvals. One party requested rehearing of the decision regarding abandonment of the gathering system and in April 1996, the FERC affirmed its May 1995 decision.

Current FERC policy associated with Orders 436 and 500 requires interstate gas pipelines to absorb some of the cost of reforming gas supply contracts before allowing any recovery through direct bill or surcharges to transportation as well as sales commodity rates. Under Orders 636, 636-A and 636-B, costs incurred to comply with these rules are permitted to be recovered in full, although 10 percent of such costs must be allocated to interruptible transportation service.

Pursuant to a stipulation and agreement approved by the FERC, Williams Natural Gas has made four filings to direct bill take-or-pay and gas supply realignment costs. The first provided for the offset of certain amounts collected subject to refund against previous take-or-pay direct-billed amounts and, in addition, covered \$24 million in new costs. This filing was approved, and the final direct-billed amount, taking into consideration the offset, was \$15 million. The second filing covered \$18 million in gas supply realignment costs, and provided for an offset of \$3 million. The third and fourth filings covered additional costs of \$8 million and \$6 million, respectively, which are similar in nature to the costs in the second filing. An intervenor has filed a protest seeking to have the Commission review the prudence of certain of the costs covered by the second, third and fourth filings. Williams Natural Gas believes that these filings will most likely also be approved. As of March 31, 1996, this subsidiary had an accrual of \$84 million for its then- estimated remaining contract-reformation and gas supply realignment costs. Williams Natural Gas will make additional filings under the applicable FERC orders to recover such further costs as may be incurred in the future. Williams Natural Gas has recorded a regulatory asset of approximately \$84 million for estimated future recovery of the foregoing costs.

In September 1995, Texas Gas received FERC approval of a settlement regarding Texas Gas' recovery of gas supply realignment costs. The settlement provides that Texas Gas will recover 100 percent of such costs up to \$50 million, will share in costs incurred between \$50 million and \$80 million, and will absorb any such costs above \$80 million. The settlement also extends Texas Gas' pricing differential mechanism to November 1, 1996, and beyond that date for contracts in litigation as of that date. Through March 31, 1996, Texas Gas has paid approximately \$64 million for gas supply realignment costs, primarily as a result of contract terminations, and has accrued a liability of approximately \$16 million for its estimated remaining gas supply realignment costs. Texas Gas has recovered approximately \$50 million plus interest in gas supply realignment costs, and in accordance with the terms of its settlement has recorded a regulatory asset of approximately \$18 million for the estimated future recovery of such costs, which is expected to be collected from customers prior to December 31, 1997. Ninety percent of the cost recovery is collected through demand surcharges on

-13-

Texas Gas' firm transportation rates; the remaining 10 percent is recoverable from interruptible transportation service.

The foregoing accruals are in accordance with Williams' accounting policies regarding the establishment of such accruals which take into consideration estimated total exposure, as discounted and risk-weighted, as well as costs and other risks associated with the difference between the time costs are incurred and the time such costs are recovered from customers. The estimated portion of such costs recoverable from customers is deferred or recorded as a regulatory asset based on an estimate of expected recovery of the amounts allowed by FERC policy. While Williams believes that these accruals are adequate and the associated regulatory assets are appropriate, costs actually incurred and amounts actually recovered from customers will depend upon the outcome of various court and FERC proceedings, the success of settlement negotiations and various other factors, not all of which are presently foreseeable.

Environmental matters

Since 1989, Texas Gas and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line have had studies underway to test certain of their facilities for the presence of toxic and hazardous substances to determine to what extent, if any, remediation may be necessary. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line has responded to data requests regarding such potential contamination of certain of its sites. The costs of any such remediation will depend upon the scope of the remediation. At March 31, 1996, these subsidiaries had reserves totaling approximately \$45 million for these costs.

Certain Williams subsidiaries, including Texas Gas and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line, have been identified as potentially responsible parties (PRP) at various Superfund and state waste disposal sites. Although no assurances can be given, Williams does not believe that the PRP status of these subsidiaries will have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or net cash flows.

In February 1995, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line was served as a defendant in a lawsuit filed in U.S. district court in Virginia by three individuals for alleged violations of several provisions of both federal and state laws. In October 1995, the court dismissed the lawsuit but provided that the plaintiffs could amend and refile their complaint to allege a state law nuisance claim and they did so. In April 1996, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line entered into a settlement with the individuals resolving the litigation.

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line, Texas Gas and Williams Natural Gas have identified polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) contamination in air compressor systems, soils and related properties at certain compressor station sites. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line, Texas Gas and Williams Natural Gas have also been involved in negotiations with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and state agencies to develop screening, sampling and cleanup programs. In addition, negotiations concerning investigative and remedial actions relative to potential mercury contamination at certain gas metering sites have commenced with certain environmental authorities by Williams Natural Gas and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line. As of March 31, 1996, Williams Natural Gas had recorded a liability for approximately \$25 million, representing the current estimate of future environmental cleanup costs to be incurred over the next six to ten years. Texas

-14-

Gas and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line likewise had recorded liabilities for these costs which are included in the \$45 million reserve mentioned above. Actual costs incurred will depend on the actual number of contaminated sites identified, the actual amount and extent of contamination discovered, the final cleanup standards mandated by the EPA and other governmental authorities and other factors. Texas Gas, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line and Williams Natural Gas have deferred these costs pending recovery as incurred through future rates and other means.

In connection with the 1987 sale of the assets of Agrico Chemical Company, Williams agreed to indemnify the purchaser for environmental cleanup costs resulting from certain conditions at specified locations, to the extent such costs exceed a specified amount. It appears probable that such costs will exceed this amount. At March 31, 1996, Williams had approximately \$7 million accrued for such excess costs. The actual costs incurred will depend on the actual amount and extent of contamination discovered, the final cleanup standards mandated by the EPA or other governmental authorities, and other factors.

A lawsuit was filed in May 1993, in a state court in Colorado in which certain claims have been made against various defendants, including Northwest Pipeline, contending that gas exploration and development activities in portions of the San Juan Basin have caused air, water and other contamination. The plaintiffs in the case sought certification of a plaintiff class. In June 1994, the lawsuit was dismissed for failure to join an indispensable party over which the state court had no jurisdiction. This decision was appealed by the plaintiffs and in February 1996, the Colorado court of appeals affirmed the dismissal. Since June 1994, eight individual lawsuits have been filed against Northwest Pipeline in U.S. district court in Colorado, making essentially the same claims. Northwest Pipeline is vigorously defending these lawsuits.

Other legal matters

In December 1991, the Southern Ute Indian Tribe (the Tribe) filed a lawsuit against Williams Production Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of Williams, and other gas producers in the San Juan Basin area, alleging that certain coal strata were reserved by the United States for the benefit of the Tribe and that the extraction of coal-seam gas from the coal strata was wrongful. The Tribe seeks compensation for the value of the coal-seam gas. The Tribe also seeks an order transferring to the Tribe ownership of all of the defendants' equipment and facilities utilized in the extraction of the coal-seam gas. In September 1994, the court granted summary judgment in favor of the defendants and the Tribe lodged an interlocutory appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. Williams Production agreed to indemnify the Williams Coal Seam Gas Royalty Trust (Trust) against any losses that may arise in respect of certain properties subject to the lawsuit. In addition, if the Tribe is successful in showing that Williams Production has no rights in the coal-seam gas, Williams Production has agreed to pay to the Trust for distribution to then-current unitholders, an amount representing a return of a portion of the original purchase price paid for the units. While Williams believes that such a payment is not probable, it has reserved a portion of the proceeds from the sale of the units in the Trust.

In October 1990, Dakota Gasification Company (Dakota), the owner of the Great Plains Coal Gasification Plant (Plant), filed suit in the U.S. district court in

-15-

North Dakota against Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line and three other pipeline companies alleging that the pipeline companies had not complied with their respective obligations under certain gas purchase and gas transportation contracts. In September 1992, Dakota and the Department of Justice on behalf of the Department of Energy filed an amended complaint adding as defendants in the suit, Transco Energy Company, Transco Coal Gas Company and all of the other partners in the partnership that originally constructed the Plant and each of the parent companies of these entities. Dakota and the Department of Justice sought declaratory and injunctive relief and the recovery of damages, alleging that the four pipeline defendants underpaid for gas, collectively, as of June 30, 1992, by more than \$232 million plus interest and for additional damages transportation services and costs and expenses including attorneys' fees. for In March 1994, the parties executed definitive agreements which would settle the litigation subject to final non- appealable regulatory approvals. The settlement is also subject to a FERC ruling that Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line's existing authority to recover in rates certain costs related to the purchase and transportation of gas produced by Dakota will pertain to gas purchase and transportation costs Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line will pay Dakota under the terms of the settlement. In October 1994, the FERC issued an order consolidating Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line's petition for approval of the settlement with similar petitions pending relative to two of the other three pipeline companies (the third pipeline having entered into a settlement) and setting the matter for hearing before an administrative law judge. In December 1995, the administrative law judge issued an initial decision in which he rejected the settlement agreements, finding that they were not prudent, and he ordered the pipeline companies to refund to their customers amounts collected since May 1993, in excess of the amounts he determined were appropriate. At the time of the ruling, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line estimated that its share of the refunds the administrative law judge would require was approximately \$75 million. The pipelines would be entitled to collect the amount of any such customer refunds from Dakota. The administrative law judge's decision is subject to review by the FERC. In February 1996, certain parties filed with the FERC a motion requesting that the FERC establish an additional proceeding to consider claims for additional refunds. Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line's share of these claimed additional refunds is \$90 million and pertain to amounts paid to Dakota from November 1, 1988, to May 1, 1993. The pipelines have opposed this motion.

In connection with agreements to resolve take-or-pay and other contract claims and to amend gas purchase contracts, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line and Texas Gas each entered into certain settlements with producers which may require the indemnification of certain claims for additional royalties which the producers may be required to pay as a result of such settlements. As a result of such settlements, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line and Texas Gas were named as defendants in, respectively, six and two lawsuits in which damages claimed aggregated in excess of \$133 million. Five of the eight lawsuits have been settled for cash payments aggregating approximately \$8.5 million, all of which have previously been accrued, and of which approximately \$3 million is recoverable as transition costs under Order 636. In addition, in July 1995, a judge in a Texas state court granted a motion by Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line for partial summary judgment, rejecting a major portion of the plaintiff's claims in one of the remaining lawsuits. Producers have received and may receive other demands which could result in additional claims. Indemnification for royalties will depend on, among other things, the specific lease provisions between the producer and the lessor and the terms of the settlement between the producer and either

-16-

17

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line or Texas Gas. Texas Gas may file to recover 75 percent of any such additional amounts it may be required to pay pursuant to indemnities for royalties under the provisions of Order 528.

In November 1994, Continental Energy Associates Limited Partnership (the Partnership) filed a voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Middle District of Pennsylvania. The Partnership owns a cogeneration facility in Hazleton, Pennsylvania (the Facility). Hazleton Fuel Management Company (HFMC), a subsidiary of Transco Energy, supplies natural gas and fuel oil to the Facility. As of March 31, 1996, it had current outstanding receivables from the Partnership of approximately \$20 million, all of which has been reserved. The construction of the Facility was funded by several banks that have a security interest in all of the Partnership's assets. HFMC has asserted to the Bankruptcy Court that payment of its receivables is superior to the lien of the banks and intends to vigorously pursue the collection of such amounts. HFMC has also filed suit against the lead bank with respect to this and other matters, including the alleged tortious interference with HFMC's contractual relations with the Partnership and other parties. In March 1995, the Bankruptcy Court approved the rejection of the gas supply contract between the Partnership and HFMC. HFMC has in turn asserted force majeure under a contract with a producer under which HFMC purchased natural gas for the Facility.

In addition to the foregoing, various other proceedings are pending against Williams or its subsidiaries incidental to their operations.

Summary

While no assurances may be given, Williams does not believe that the ultimate resolution of the foregoing matters, taken as a whole and after consideration of amounts accrued, insurance coverage, recovery from customers or other indemnification arrangements, will have a materially adverse effect upon Williams' future financial position, results of operations and cash flow requirements.

-17-

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

First Quarter 1996 vs. First Quarter 1995

19

NORTHWEST PIPELINE'S revenues increased \$8.5 million, or 14 percent, due primarily to higher transportation revenues resulting from higher throughput volumes, related to the expansion of mainline capacity placed into service on December 1, 1995. Total throughput increased 29.3 TBtu, or 14 percent. Operating profit increased \$5.7 million, or 21 percent, due primarily to the expansion of mainline capacity.

WILLIAMS NATURAL GAS' revenues increased \$3.2 million, or 8 percent, and operating profit increased \$3.1 million, or 33 percent, due primarily to new tariff rates that became effective August 1, 1995. In addition, the current quarter benefitted from lower discounting and increased throughput resulting from colder weather. Total throughput increased 15.6 TBtu, or 15 percent.

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE'S revenues and operating profit increased \$49.9 million, or 32 percent, and \$14.5 million, or 38 percent, respectively, due primarily to a full quarter of operations in 1996 compared with the first quarter of 1995, which reflected operations from January 18, 1995, when Williams acquired majority interest in Transco Energy. Revenues associated with the period January 1 through January 17, 1995, were \$35.8 million. The first quarter 1996 also benefitted from a system expansion placed into service in late 1995. Total throughput increased 132.4 TBtu, or 41 percent, due primarily to a full quarter of operations in 1996 compared to the partial quarter in 1995. Because of its rate structure and historical maintenance schedule, Transco typically experiences its greatest profitability in the first and fourth quarters of the year.

TEXAS GAS TRANSMISSION'S revenues and operating profit increased \$33.9 million, or 49 percent, and \$18.6 million, or 83 percent, respectively, due primarily to the new rates that became effective on April 1, 1995, and an adjustment to rate refund accruals based on a recent rate-case settlement. Also, the first quarter of 1995 reflected operations from January 18, when Williams acquired majority interest in Transco Energy. Revenues associated with the period January 1 through January 17, 1995, were \$16 million. Total throughput increased 54 percent due primarily to a full quarter of operations in 1996 compared to the partial quarter in 1995. Because of its rate structure and historical maintenance schedule, Texas Gas typically experiences its greatest profitability in the first and fourth quarters of the year.

KERN RIVER GAS TRANSMISSION (Kern River) operates a natural gas pipeline system extending from Wyoming through Utah and Nevada to California. On January 16, 1996, Williams acquired the remaining interest in Kern River. Revenues and operating profit amounts for the three months ended March 31, 1996, include the operating results of Kern River since the acquisition date. Kern River's revenues were \$38.9 million in the first quarter of 1996, while costs and operating expenses were \$13.3 million and operating profit was \$25.6 million. Prior to this acquisition, Williams accounted for its 50 percent ownership in Kern River using the equity method of accounting, with its share of equity earnings recorded in investing income. Investing income for the first quarter of 1996 includes \$1.5 million for the period prior to the acquisition date, compared to \$8.5 million for the entire first quarter of 1995. Throughput was

-18-

58.6 TBtu in the first quarter of 1996 (for the period subsequent to the acquisition date). Throughput for the entire first quarter 1996 is comparable to first quarter 1995.

20

WILLIAMS FIELD SERVICES GROUP'S revenues increased \$67 million, or 51 percent, due primarily to higher gathering, processing and natural gas liquids sales revenues of \$17 million, \$5 million and \$10 million, respectively, combined with increased natural gas sales volumes. Gathering, processing and natural gas liquids volumes increased 37 percent, 29 percent and 20 percent, respectively. Costs and operating expenses increased \$46 million, or 57 percent, due primarily to higher natural gas purchase volumes and expanded facilities. Other (income) expense--net includes a \$3 million environmental remediation accrual. Operating profit increased \$16.8 million, or 46 percent, due primarily to higher gathering and processing revenues and increased natural gas and gas liquids margins, partially offset by higher costs and expenses associated with expanded facilities and the environmental remediation accrual.

WILLIAMS ENERGY SERVICES' revenues decreased \$8.5 million, or 24 percent, as first-quarter 1995 results included \$21 million from originated long-term supply obligations. Partially offsetting this revenue decrease were higher price-risk management and physical trading revenues of \$6 million and \$7 million, respectively. Natural gas physical trading volumes increased 26 percent due primarily to a full quarter of Transco Energy's gas trading activities in addition to a colder winter. Operating profit decreased \$8.6 million, or 35 percent, due primarily to the decrease in revenues.

WILLIAMS PIPE LINE'S revenues (including Williams Energy Ventures) increased \$62.1 million, or 96 percent, due primarily to increases in transportation and non-transportation revenues of \$4 million and \$58 million, respectively. Shipments increased 14 percent due primarily to new business and the impact during 1995 of unfavorable weather conditions and a November 1994 fire at a truck-loading rack. Average length of haul and transportation rate per barrel decreased 4 percent due primarily to shorter haul movements. The increase in non-transportation revenue is due primarily to Williams Energy Ventures' ethanol sales following the 1995 acquisition of Pekin Energy and construction of the Nebraska Energy plant. Costs and expenses increased \$59 million, or 118 percent, due primarily to ethanol production activities. Operating profit (including Williams Energy Ventures) increased \$3.5 million, or 23 percent, due primarily to increased transportation revenues. Williams Energy Ventures' results declined \$900,000 to a \$2.1 million operating loss in 1996, as abnormally high prices for corn used in the production of ethanol resulted in losses at Pekin Energy and Nebraska Energy.

WILTEL'S revenues decreased \$4.7 million, or 4 percent, due primarily to lower business activity resulting from inclement weather in the northeastern and central parts of the country, which unfavorably impacted revenues by \$12 million. Partially offsetting the lower business activity was \$6 million attributable to the January 1996 acquisition of ComLink, Inc. The number of ports in service at March 31, 1996, increased 12 percent compared to March 31, 1995. Costs and operating expenses decreased \$4 million, or 4 percent, due primarily to decreased business activity. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$5 million, or 22 percent, due primarily to the impact of the ComLink acquisition and costs related to management information systems, system integration and Internet capabilities. Operating profit decreased \$4.9 million, or 62 percent, due primarily to decreased business activity resulting from the inclement weather and increased costs related to management information systems, system integration and Internet capabilities.

-19-

WILTECH'S revenues increased \$10.7 million, or 136 percent, due primarily to \$5 million in higher digital television services provided, and \$4 million attributable to the October 1995 acquisition of NUS Training. Occasional service billable minutes and dedicated service voice grade equivalent miles each increased 19 percent. A \$9 million increase in costs and expenses reflects the overall increase in sales activity and higher expenses for developing additional products and services. Operating loss decreased \$1.9 million due primarily to the increased sales activity.

GENERAL CORPORATE EXPENSES decreased \$800,000 due primarily to the absence of a \$4 million contribution in 1995 to The Williams Companies Foundation, largely offset by higher employee compensation expense and professional services. Interest accrued increased \$13.5 million, or 20 percent, due primarily to \$643 million of debt assumed with the acquisition of Kern River (see Note 3). Investing income decreased \$39.7 million, or 90 percent, due primarily to the absence of a 1995 \$15 million dividend from Texasgulf Inc, and interest earned in 1995 on the invested portion of the cash proceeds from the sale of Williams' network services operations, in addition to \$7 million lower equity earnings from Williams' 50 percent ownership in Kern River. Kern River's 1996 operating results are included in operating profit since the acquisition date (see Note 3). Other expense--net decreased \$6.5 million, or 67 percent, due to the absence of \$8 million in minority interest expense associated with the January 1995 Transco Energy acquisition. The effective income tax rate in 1996 is slightly greater than the federal statutory rate due primarily to the effects of state income taxes, partially offset by income tax credits from coal-seam gas production. The effective income tax rate in 1995 is greater than the federal statutory rate due primarily to the effects of state income taxes, minority interest and dividends on subsidiary preferred stock, partially offset by income tax credits from coal-seam gas production.

On January 5, 1995, Williams sold its network services operations to LDDS Communications, Inc. for \$2.5 billion in cash. The sale yielded an after-tax gain of approximately \$1 billion, which is reported as income from discontinued operations (see Note 6).

Financial condition and Liquidity

Liquidity

21

Williams considers its liquidity to come from two sources; internal liquidity, consisting of available cash investments, and external liquidity, consisting of borrowing capacity from available bank-credit facilities, which can be utilized without limitation under existing loan covenants. At March 31, 1996, Williams had access to \$817 million of liquidity representing the available portion of its \$800 million bank-credit facility plus cash-equivalent investments. This compares with liquidity of \$726 million at December 31, 1995, and \$1.4 billion at March 31, 1995. Liquidity at March 31, 1995, included a portion of the cash proceeds from the sale of the network services operations.

In 1996, capital expenditures (excluding acquisition of businesses) are estimated to be approximately \$1.1 billion. During 1996, Williams expects to finance capital expenditures, investments and working-capital requirements through cash generated from operations, the use of its \$800 million bank-credit facility or public debt/equity offerings.

-20-

22 Financing Activities

On January 16, 1996, Williams acquired the remaining interest in Kern River Gas Transmission Company for \$206 million in cash and entered into a \$205 million short-term borrowing agreement to finance the purchase (see Note 3).

The consolidated long-term debt to long-term debt-plus-equity ratio increased to 53 percent at March 31, 1996, from 47.4 percent at December 31, 1995. The increase is due primarily to the assumption of Kern River's debt, combined with the issuance of \$250 million of debentures by Williams Holdings under a \$400 million shelf registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in January 1996.

During March 1996, the Kern River floating-rate bank loan was refinanced through the issuance of 6.42 percent and 6.72 percent fixed-rate notes. Interest-rate swap agreements entered into by Kern River in prior years which effectively converted floating-rate debt to a fixed 9.1 percent remain outstanding. Concurrent with the refinancing, Kern River entered into additional interest-rate swap agreements which effectively offset the original interest-rate swaps and adjust the new fixed-rate notes to an effective interest rate of 8.7 percent.

Subsequent to March 31, 1996, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line redeemed \$125 million of its adjustable rate notes primarily through the use of the \$800 million bank-credit facility.

The increase in receivables from December 31, 1995, is due primarily to increased trading activities by Williams Energy Services. The increase in property, plant and equipment primarily reflects consolidation of Kern River following the January 1996 acquisition.

0ther

The Financial Accounting Standards Board has issued a new accounting standard, FAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1995. As provided for in the standard, Williams will not adopt the recognition provisions and will provide the pro forma net income and earnings-per-share disclosures required by the standard in its 1996 annual financial statements.

Williams currently follows Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Under this standard, because the exercise price of Williams' fixed-plan common stock options equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of the grant, no compensation expense is recognized.

- (a) The exhibits listed below are filed as part of this report:
 - Exhibit 11--Computation of Earnings Per Common and Commonequivalent Share
 - Exhibit 12--Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements
- (b) During the first quarter of 1996, the Company filed a Form 8-K on January 24, 1996 which reported a significant event under Item 5 of the Form and included the exhibits required by Item 7 of the Form.

-22-

THE WILLIAMS COMPANIES, INC. COMPUTATION OF EARNINGS PER COMMON AND COMMON-EQUIVALENT SHARE Three months ended March 31, 1996 and 1995

	(Thousands, except per-share amounts)	
	1996	
P erimental second s		
Primary earnings: Income from continuing operations Preferred stock dividends:	\$104,900	\$ 83,200
<pre>\$2.21 cumulative preferred stock \$3.50 cumulative convertible preferred stock</pre>	400 2,200	2,100
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred stock dividends Income from discontinued operations	102,300 -	81,100 1,005,700
Income applicable to common stock	\$102,300 =======	\$1,086,800 =======
Primary shares:		
Average number of common shares outstanding during the period Common-equivalent shares attributable to options and deferred stock	104,342 3,418	90,974 2,946
Total common and common-equivalent shares	3,418 107,760	93,920 =======
Primary earnings per common and common-equivalent share: Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$.95 -	\$.86 10.71
Net income	\$.95 =======	\$ 11.57 =========
Fully diluted earnings:		
Income from continuing operations Preferred stock dividends:	\$104,900	,
<pre>\$2.21 cumulative preferred stock</pre>	400	2,100
Income from continuing operations, net of preferred stock dividends Income from discontinued operations	104,500	81,100 1,005,700
Income applicable to common stock	\$104,500 =======	\$1,086,800 ========
Fully diluted shares: Average number of common shares outstanding during the period Common-equivalent shares attributable to options and deferred stock Dilutive preferred shares	104,342 3,664 3,906	90,974
Total common and common-equivalent shares		94,117 ========
Fully diluted earnings per common and common-equivalent share: Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$.93	\$.86
Net income	\$.93 =======	\$ 11.55 =========

THE WILLIAMS COMPANIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO COMBINED FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDEND REQUIREMENTS (Dollars in millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 1996
Earnings:	
Income from continuing operations before income taxes Add:	\$165.9
Interest expense - net	80.3
Rental expense representative of interest factor	6.5
Interest accrued - 50 percent owned company	1.3
Other	.8
Total earnings as adjusted plus fixed charges	\$254.8
	======
Fixed charges and preferred stock dividend requirements:	
Interest expense - net	\$ 80.3
Capitalized interest	1.8
Rental expense representative of interest factor	6.5
Pretax effect of dividends on preferred stock of	
the Company	4.2
Interest accrued - 50 percent owned company	1.3
Combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividend	
requirements	\$ 94.1
	=====
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and	
preferred stock dividend requirements	\$ 2.71
	======

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

> THE WILLIAMS COMPANIES, INC. (Registrant)

Gary R. Belitz Gary R. Belitz Controller (Duly Authorized Officer and Chief Accounting Officer)

May 14, 1996

5 1,000

```
\begin{array}{c} 3-\text{MOS} \\ & \text{DEC-31-1996} \\ & \text{JAN-01-1996} \\ & \text{MAR-31-1996} \\ & 87,599 \\ & 0 \\ & 844,185 \\ & (14,538) \\ & 206,920 \\ 1,555,274 \\ & 10,624,326 \\ & (1,637,180) \\ & 11,513,412 \\ 2,142,828 \\ & 3,694,822 \\ & 106,116 \\ 0 \\ & 162,276 \\ & 3,014,134 \\ 11,513,412 \\ & 0 \\ & 893,749 \\ & 0 \\ & 637,682 \\ & 0 \\ & (801) \\ & 82,088 \\ & 165,934 \\ & 60,998 \\ 104,936 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ &
```